

	Strategy	Grootvlei
---	-----------------	------------------

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply of Boiler tubing and auxiliary material at Grootvlei Power Station**

Unique Identifier: **GVL/0893**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Grootvlei Power Station**





Functional Area: **Engineering**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **9**

Next Review Date: **As Required**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

			
			
			
			
			
		 14/05/2026	 14/05/2026
			

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	4
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	4
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	5
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	7
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	8
3.5.1 Risks	8
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions	8
4. AUTHORISATION	9
5. REVISIONS.....	9
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....	9
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	9

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	4
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	7
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	8
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	8
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	8
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	8

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. INTRODUCTION

Grootvlei Power Station is a coal fired power station located in Grootvlei, Mpumalanga, South Africa. The station is made up of six units, with three units which are currently in service which is Unit (1-3) and three units which are on cold reserve which is unit (4-6). The three units generate approximately 585 MW to Eskom national grid. The power station's operational functions require a continuous and uninterrupted supply of spares utilized in the primary function of energy production and other related functions.

The station has invited tenderers that will Supply boiler tubing and auxiliary at Eskom Grootvlei. This strategy outlines the requirements that the tenderers must comply with to be considered for carrying out the scope of work.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as the basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy is for Supply of Boiler tubing and auxiliary material at Grootvlei Power Station

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to Grootvlei Power Station only.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure (Transmission and Distribution)

2.2.2 Informative

- [3] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [4] 240-70240749: Strategic and Critical Spares Policy
- [5] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [6] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.3 DEFINITIONS

N/A

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
MW	Megawatt
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
PS	Power Station

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Generation Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Generation

OR

240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure for Transmission and Distribution

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
████	████████████████	████████████████
████	████████████████	████████████████
████	████████████████	████████████████████
████	████████████████	████████████████

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

General	Weight (%)					Actual Score
Organogram showing company structure	10	Compliance. content satisfies Eskom requirements	Non-compliance			
	Score	10	0			
Experience						
The service provider to provide at least similar grade material with dimensions according to scope of work of the last 3 years and need to provide prove and references. (e.g. Delivery note) Note: Attach proof	20	3 years and above	2 years	1 years	0 years	
	Score	20	10	5	0	
The service provider to provide prove of previous material certificates (EN10204: 3.2) of the material in the scope of work of the last 3 years Note: Attach proof	20	> 5 Material Certificates	3 Material Certificate	1 Material Certificate	None	
	Score	20	10	5	0	
Provide Method statement that indicates: How to package material to be delivered How to transport material from point A and to B How to off load delivered material How to hand over delivered material	20	All points must be covered under Method statement and be relevant to the scope of work	< 3 points covered Method statement and be relevant to the scope of work	None Method statement		
	Score	20	10	0		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply of
Boiler tubing and auxiliary material at Grootvlei Power
Station**

Unique Identifier: **GVL/0893**

Revision: **1**

Page: **6 of 9**

Quality						
The service provider to provide a fully signed off data pack available of similar Work done previously, including Resource planning and Quality Control Plan	20	All points must be covered	2 points covered	None		
Note: Attach proof						
	Score	20	10	0		
Guarantees						
Provide workmanship Guarantee after work has been completed	10	5 points workmanship Guarantee	None			
	Score	10	0			

NOTE: THRESHOLD TO SUCCEED TO THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION IS 70%

Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table as captured in the Technical Evaluation Procedure

(%)	DEFINITION
100	COMPLIANT Meet technical requirement(s) AND. No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with. Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. Acceptable exceptions AND/OR. Acceptable conditions.
40	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR. Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR. Unacceptable conditions.
0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 2: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
N/A				
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X
4	X	X	X	X
5	X	X	X	X
6	X	X	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.5.1 Risks

Table 3: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 4: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Tenders that have not supplied boiler tubing historically
2.	No knowledge of various grade and size of boiler tubing

3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.4 of this document.

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviations to any part of the technical schedules without providing alternate solutions.
2.	The bid submission is generic, incomplete, and not tailored to address the specific objectives and scope.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
May 2026	1	M	Technical Evaluation Strategy for Tendering

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE